



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,046	04/17/2006	Toshikazu Nakamura	2006_0233A	8161
513 7590 02/08/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				
EXAMINER				
ALLEN, MARIANNE P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1647				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/08/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/570,046

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Marianne P. Allen

Art Unit

1647

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 5, 8-17, 20, 21 and 24-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1, 4, 5, 8-10, 13-17, 20, 21 and 24-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 5, 8-17, 20, 21 and 24-27 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/1/06
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 2-3, 6-7, 18-19, and 22-23 have been cancelled.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 11 and 12 (in part as it depends from claim 11) in the reply filed on 11/21/07 is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-17, 20-21, and 24-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/21/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for SEQ ID NO: 1, does not reasonably provide enablement for other mutations (insertions, substitutions, or deletions) in the first Kringle or elsewhere within human HGF. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification discloses SEQ ID NO: 1 as being a mutated form of human HGF wherein five amino acids corresponding to amino acids 161-165 of SEQ ID NO: 3 (wild type human HGF) are deleted. (See pages 14 and 37 of the specification and SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 3.) The prior art (see at least Seki et al.) makes clear that the protein of SEQ ID NO: 1 is the result

of a naturally occurring polymorphism. Seki et al. demonstrates that this form retains the same biological activity as the full length HGF of SEQ ID NO: 3. The specification does not disclose any other deletions or mutations in the first Kringle or elsewhere that would provide an active HGF that could be used in the claimed method for promoting granulation formation. Note that claim 11 does not require that the five amino acid deletion be at amino acids 161-165 of SEQ ID NO: 3. It is not considered to be so predictable that other mutations would result in an active protein based on the lack of information, guidance, and examples in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 is confusing in depending upon non-elected claims 9-10. It is further confusing in its dependency on claim 11. SEQ ID NO: 1 is an amino acid sequence for human HGF wherein five amino acid residues are deleted in the first Kringle domain. Claim 11 does not embrace additional mutations such as those recited in part (b) of claim 12. This claim does not appear to be properly dependent as it appears to broaden the scope of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyoda et al. in view of Seki et al., Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,342,831), Nakamura et al. (EP 461,560 A1), and Yoshida et al. (Journal of Investigative Dermatology).

Toyoda et al. discloses that overexpression of HGF in transgenic mice promotes granulation. Increased presence of HGF protein is determined by using antibodies. Toyoda discloses topical or local administration of HGF to skin wounds to promote healing. See at least abstract; pages 96-97 and 100, section 3.5, and Figures 2 and 5. Toyoda et al. does not disclose the HGF of SEQ ID NO: 1.

Seki et al. discloses the HGF of SEQ ID NO: 1. This naturally occurring variant has the same biological activities as the HGF retaining the five amino acids (SEQ ID NO: 3). The variant still binds antibodies to HGF. See at least abstract and pages 323 and 325-326.

Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,342,831) discloses using HGF to treat skin and peptic ulcers. Methods of administration, formulations, and dosages are disclosed. See at least column 2, lines 50-68, and column 5, lines 50-63.

Nakamura et al. (EP 461,560 A1) discloses the HGF of SEQ ID NO: 1. This naturally occurring variant has the same biological activities as the HGF retaining the five amino acids (SEQ ID NO: 3). See at least column 20, claims, and Figure 15.

Yoshida et al. discloses that inhibiting the action of HGF protein by using antibodies can suppress or inhibit granulation tissue formation. See at least abstract.

It would have been obvious to substitute the HGF variant of SEQ ID NO: 1 as taught by Seki et al. and Nakamura et al. (EP 461,560 A1) to treat skin and peptic ulcers as taught by Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent NO. 5,342,831) and as suggested by Toyoda et al. One would have been motivated to do so as Toyoda et al. discloses that HGF protein promotes granulation and Yoshida et al. discloses that inhibiting the action of HGF protein by using antibodies can suppress or inhibit granulation tissue formation. Based on the teachings of Nakamura et al. ('560) and Seki et al., one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the HGF variant of SEQ ID NO: 1 to have this biological activity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne P. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-0712. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 5:30 am - 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath N. Rao can be reached on 571-272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1647

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marianne P. Allen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

mpa